

United States Patent and Trademark Office

cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,580	02/09/2004	Bruce S. Jones	NUKZ 2 00339	3207
27885 7590 10/26/2007 FAY SHARPE LLP 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			MARTIN,	MARTIN, LAURA E
CLEVELAND	ND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/774,580	JONES, BRUCE S.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura E. Martin	2853				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVEIDE AMONTH	(C) OR THERTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S	eptember 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-14,16,17 and 19-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16,17 and 19-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ved in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receiv	ea.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) D Notice of Informal					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1 and 3-14 in the reply filed on 9/13/07 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doyle (US 2002/0130473) in view of Jones et al. (US 20020191059).

Doyle discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claim 1: a seal member, said seal member comprising a substantially V-shaped first surface having a first vertex and a substantially V-shaped second surface having a second vertex (figure 5), wherein said first surface faces away from said second surface (figure 5), wherein said surfaces are on opposite exterior sides of said member, and said surfaces are adapted to be compressed when said seal member is installed in said outlet passage [0019].

As per claim 11: the seal member engages an inner wall of said outlet passage (figure 5, element 31).

Doyle does not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claim 1: a housing having a chamber formed therein for receiving ink and a surface including an outlet passage communicating with the chamber and through which ink is dispensed; and an air impermeable, non-porous seal member.

As per claim 7: the seal member is formed of a rubber.

As per claim: the seal member is formed of a polyvinyl chloride (PVC).

As per claim 9: the seal member is formed of a thermoplastic rubber.

As per claim 10: the seal member is formed of a silicone rubber.

As per claim 12: the seal member includes a thin membrane extending across the first surface of the seal member, said thin membrane adapted to be selectively pierced by a needle of an associated printer.

As per claim 13: the seal member has a substantially disk shape.

As per claim 14: the outlet passage includes a counterbore at an outer terminal end that receives the disk-shaped seal member therein.

Jones et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claim 1: a housing having a chamber formed therein for receiving ink and a surface including an outlet passage communicating with the chamber and through which ink is dispensed; and an air impermeable, non-porous seal member [0007].

As per claim 7: the seal member is formed of a rubber [0008].

As per claim 8: the seal member is formed of a polyvinyl chloride (PVC) [0008].

As per claim 9: the seal member is formed of a thermoplastic rubber [0008].

As per claim 10: the seal member is formed of a silicone rubber [0008].

Application/Control Number: 10/774,580

Art Unit: 2853

As per claim 12: the seal member includes a thin membrane extending across the first surface of the seal member [0019], said thin membrane adapted to be selectively pierced by a needle of an associated printer [0003].

As per claim 13: the seal member has a substantially disk shape [0019].

As per claim 14: the outlet passage includes a counterbore at an outer terminal end that receives the disk-shaped seal member therein (claim 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the seal member taught by Doyle with the area where the disclosure of Jones et al. in order to provide low leak rates. Also, it is well known in the art that a seal has a plethora of uses, including plugging a cartridge.

Claims 3, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doyle (US 2002/0130473) and Jones et al. (US 20020191059), and further in view of Putman et al. (US 20030081085).

Doyle as modified disclose the following claim limitations:

The ink container of claim.

As per claim 5, Doyle discloses a seal being linearly compressed [0019] and figure 5.

As per claim 5: Jones et al. discloses the seal (figure 1, element 28) adapted to be between said cap (figure 1, element below 28) and said outlet passage (figure 1, element 24). While Jones et al. do not specifically teach linear compression, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that if the

seal was held in place by the cap between the cap and the outlet port, there would be some type of compression as the seal is being held in place between the two objects.

Doyle as modified does not disclose the following claim limitations:

As per claim 3: a cap member having a recess for receiving said outlet passage.

As per claim 6: the cap is formed of plastic.

Putman et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

As per claim 3: a cap member having a recess for receiving said outlet passage (figure 1, element 80).

As per claim 6: the cap is formed of plastic [0025].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink container and method taught by Doyle as modified with the disclosure of Putman et al. in order to provide for a higher quality ink container with less leaking.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doyle (US 2002/0130473) and Jones et al. (US 20020191059), and further in view of Qingguo et al. (US 20030128257) and Putman et al. (US 20030081085).

Doyle as modified discloses the following claim limitations:

The ink container of claim 1.

Doyle as modified does not disclose the following claim limitations:

Art Unit: 2853

The outer terminal end of said outlet passage comprises a generally triangularshaped rib extending at least partially along a circumference thereof for contacting and thermally bonding said cap to said outlet passage.

Qingguo et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

The outer terminal end of said outlet passage comprises a generally triangularshaped rib extending at least partially along a circumference thereof (figure 7A).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink container taught by Doyle as modified with the disclosure of Qingguo et al. in order to provide a better seal.

Putman et al. discloses the following claim limitations:

An outer terminal end of said outlet passage comprising a rib extending at least partially along a circumference thereof for contacting and thermally bonding said cap to said outlet passage [0021] and [0025] (the energy from ultrasonic welding produces heat).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the ink container and method taught by Doyle as modified with the disclosure of Putman et al. in order to provide for a higher quality ink container with less leaking.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2853

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura E. Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2160. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen D. Meier can be reached on (571) 272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,580 Page 8

Art Unit: 2853

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura E. Martin

MANISH S. SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

28610/25/07